



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,970	09/14/2006	Yvette Pescher	1022702-000298	6435
21839	7590	02/06/2009	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				HRUSKOCI, PETER A
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/06/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,970	PESCHER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(3) ____.

(2) Shrudi S. Costales.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 9/3/08

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the claims were suggested to overcome the prior art of record. It was noted that a statement that the inventions were commonly owned at the time the instant invention was made, was not included in the response to the provisional obviousness type double patenting rejection as stated in the above prior Office action. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

